

*贊助人 Patron* 曾蔭權行政長官 The Hon Donald TSANG Yam-kuen GBM

*榮譽會長 Hon President 榮譽主席 Chairman Emeritus* 鄭慕智博士 Dr Moses Cheng GBS OBE JF

*卸任主席 Immediate Past Chairman* 黃紹開 Mr Peter S H Wong MBA

榮譽顧問 Hon Advisers 劉華森博士 Dr Lau Wah Sum GBS LLD DBA JP 鄭海泉 Vincent Cheng GBS OBE JP 吳天海 Stephen T H Ng 劉國元 Liu Guoyuan 方正 Eddy Fong

主席 Chairman 黃天祐博士 Dr Kelvin Wong DBA *副主席 Deputy Chairmen* 參理思 George Magnus BBS OBE 梁廣瀬 Edmund K H Leung SBS OBE JP 張永銳 Cheung Wing Yui, Edward *司庫 Treasurer* 文暮良 Man Mo Leung 行政總裁 Chief Executive Officer 徐尉玲博士 Dr Carlye W L Tsui BBS MBE JP

理事會成員 Council Members 畢烈 Peter Barrett 陳心愉 Ms Bonnie S Y Chan 張惠彬博士
Dr Charles Cheung JP MBA DBA(Hon) 江偉 AFM Conway 范耀鈞教授 Prof Y K Fan BBS JP 何猷灝 Ronald Ho 孔 数權 Randy Hung 賴顯榮 Henry Lai 林潔蘭博士 Dr Cynthia Lam 劉冠倫教授 Prof Alex Lau 林宣亮 Alfred Lin 莫建鄰教授 Ir Prof John Mok 莫兆光 Stanley Mok 譚學林博士 Dr Tommy Tam JP 鄧宛舜 Ms Cynthia Y S Tang Christopher To 曾立基 Richard Tsang 詹華達 Jim Wardell 黄友嘉博士 Dr David Wong 黃至剛博士 Dr Lawrence T Wong

4 December 2009

Corporate Communications Department
Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited
12th Floor, One International Finance Centre
1 Harbour View Street
Central, Hong Kong

**Dear Sirs** 

#### Consultation Paper on Proposed Changes to the Connected Transaction Rules

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors ("HKIoD") is pleased to forward our response to the captioned paper.

HKIoD is Hong Kong's premier body representing professional directors working together to promote good corporate governance. We are committed to contributing towards the formulation of public policies that are conducive to the advancement of Hong Kong's international status.

In relation to the captioned paper, we have gone through the processes of consulting our members and conducting focused review by our Corporate Governance Committee under the chairmanship of Mr Henry Lai. Hence, the response represents our consolidated collective views.

Should you require further information regarding our response, please do not hesitate to contact me on tel no. 2889 9986.

With best regards

Yours sincerely

THE HONG KONG INSTITUTE OF DIRECTORS

Dr Carlye Tsui

Chief Executive Officer

Enc

cc Dr Kelvin Wong, Chairman of Council, HKIoD Mr Henry Lai, Council Member, HKIoD & Chairman, Corporate Governance Committee

翁月華 Ms Linda Y W Yung

容永祺 Samuel W K Yung мн JP

# QUESTIONNAIRE ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CONNECTED TRANSACTION RULES

We invite interested parties to respond to the Consultation Paper on Proposed Changes to the Connected Transaction Rules (**Consultation Paper**), which can be downloaded from the HKEx website at <a href="http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200910ct\_e.pdf">http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200910ct\_e.pdf</a>.

This Questionnaire contains the Personal Information Collection and Privacy Policy Statement; Part A: General Information of the Respondent; and Part B: Consultation Questions.

All responses should be made in writing by completing and returning to HKEx both Part A and Part B of this Questionnaire no later than **2 December 2009** by one of the following methods:

By mail or Corporate Communications Department hand delivery to Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited

12th Floor, One International Finance Centre

1 Harbour View Street

Central Hong Kong

**Re:** Consultation Paper on

**Proposed Changes to the Connected Transaction Rules** 

By fax to (852) 2524-0149

By e-mail to response@hkex.com.hk

Please mark in the subject line: "Re: Consultation Paper on

**Proposed Changes to the Connected Transaction Rules**"

Our submission enquiry number is (852) 2840-3844.

The names of persons who submit comments together with the whole or part of their submissions may be disclosed to members of the public. If you do not wish your name to be published please indicate so in Part A.

#### **Personal Information Collection and Privacy Policy Statement**

#### **Provision of Personal Data**

1. Your supply of Personal Data to HKEx is on a voluntary basis. "Personal Data" in these statements has the same meaning as "personal data" in the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance, Cap 486, which may include your name, identity card number, mailing address, telephone number, email address, login name and/or your opinion.

#### **Personal Information Collection Statement**

2. This Personal Information Collection Statement is made in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data. It sets out the purposes for which your Personal Data will be used after collection, what you are agreeing to in respect of HKEx's use, transfer and retention of your Personal Data, and your rights to request access to and correction of your Personal Data.

#### **Purpose of Collection**

- 3. HKEx may use your Personal Data provided in connection with this consultation paper for purposes relating to this consultation and for one or more of the following purposes:
  - administration, processing and publication of the consultation paper and any responses received;
  - performing or discharging HKEx's functions and those of its subsidiaries under the relevant laws, rules and regulations;
  - research and statistical analysis; and
  - any other purposes permitted or required by law or regulation.

#### **Transfer of Personal Data**

- 4. Your Personal Data may be disclosed or transferred by HKEx to its subsidiaries and/or regulator(s) for any of the above stated purposes.
- 5. To ensure that the consultation is conducted in a fair, open and transparent manner, any response together with your name may be published on an "as is" basis, in whole or in part, in document form, on the HKEx website or by other means. In general, HKEx will publish your name only and will not publish your other Personal Data unless specifically required to do so under any applicable law or regulation. If you do not wish your name to be published or your opinion to be published, please state so when responding to this paper.

#### **Access to and Correction of Data**

6. You have the right to request access to and/or correction of your Personal Data in accordance with the provisions of the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance. HKEx has the right to charge a reasonable fee for processing any data access request. Any such request for access to and/or correction of your Personal Data should be addressed to the Personal Data Privacy Officer of HKEx in writing by either of the following means:

By mail to: Personal Data Privacy Officer

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited 12th Floor. One International Finance Centre

1 Harbour View Street

Central Hong Kong

**Re:** Consultation Paper on

**Proposed Changes to the Connected Transaction Rules** 

By email to: pdpo@hkex.com.hk

#### **Retention of Personal Data**

7. Your Personal Data will be retained for such period as may be necessary for the carrying out of the above-stated purposes.

#### **Privacy Policy Statement**

- 8. HKEx is firmly committed to preserving your privacy in relation to the Personal Data supplied to HKEx on a voluntary basis. Personal Data may include names, identity card numbers, telephone numbers, mailing addresses, e-mail addresses, login names, opinion, etc., which may be used for the stated purposes when your Personal Data are collected. The Personal Data will not be used for any other purposes without your consent unless such use is permitted or required by law or regulation.
- 9. HKEx has security measures in place to protect against the loss, misuse and alteration of Personal Data supplied to HKEx. HKEx will strive to maintain Personal Data as accurately as reasonably possible and Personal Data will be retained for such period as may be necessary for the stated purposes and for the proper discharge of the functions of HKEx and those of its subsidiaries.

### **Part A** General Information of the Respondent

All fields are mandatory, except the fields with an asterisk (\*) if you are an individual respondent.

Name/ Company Name\* : The Hong Kong Institute of Directors

Contact Person\* : Dr Carlye Tsui

Title\* : Chief Executive Officer

Phone Number : 2889 9986

E-mail Address : carlye.tsui@hkiod.com

If you <u>do not wish</u> to disclose the above information to the public, please check the box here:

I do not wish to disclose the information above.

### **Part B** Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please make your comments by replying to questions below against proposed changes discussed in the Consultation Paper at the hyperlink: <a href="http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200910ct\_e.pdf">http://www.hkex.com.hk/consul/paper/cp200910ct\_e.pdf</a>.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

| Α. | Transactions with persons connected with an issuer only by virtue of |
|----|--|
|    | their relationship with the issuer's subsidiaries                    |

| X                                | Yes  |
|----------------------------------|--|
|                                  | No   |
| Please                           | e provide reasons for your views.  |
| reluct<br>the L<br>exam<br>may b | The connected party at the subsidiary level may not cooperate and ever and to release the relevant information to the issuer to enable it to comply with isting Rules, in particular, relating to financial and corporate information. For ple, in joint ventures with two or more business groups, even when the Issue as a major shareholder, the other shareholder may not have incentive to facilitate suer in releasing relevant information. |
| If you                           | ur answer to question 1 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Ru  |
|                                  | dments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  |
|                                  | dments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  Yes   |
| amen                             |  |

| 3. | On the basis that the definition of connected person will continue to include person connected at the subsidiary level, do you agree with the proposal to introduce an "insignificant subsidiary exemption" for connected transactions? |   |  |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|
|    | X   | Yes   |  |  |  |
|    |   | No  |  |  |  |
|    | Please  | provide reasons for your views.   |  |  |  |
|    | of the  | The proposal will reduce the compliance costs incurred by the issuer relating to small transactions or transactions entered into by some unimportant subsidiaries issuer. It may also enhance a better flow of quality information rather than nation with no or low quality content. |  |  |  |
| 4. |   | on your experience, do you think that the "insignificant subsidiary exemption" be used by you (or for market practitioners, your clients)?  |  |  |  |
|    | X   | Yes   |  |  |  |
|    |   | No  |  |  |  |
|    | Please  | describe the circumstances and refer to Option 1 or 2.  |  |  |  |
|    |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5. | If you  | r answer to question 3 is "Yes", do you agree with  |  |  |  |
|    | (a)   | the proposed materiality threshold under (i) Option 1 or (ii) Option 2?   |  |  |  |
|    |   | Yes (please choose one of the following options)  |  |  |  |
|    |   | Option 1 (Please see below)   |  |  |  |
|    |   | Option 2  |  |  |  |
|    |   | □ No  |  |  |  |
|    |   | Please provide reasons for your views.  |  |  |  |
|    |   | The threshold of 5% as set out in Option 1 is too low. Perhaps 10% will be more realistic taking into consideration of the size of most of the issuers in Hong Kong   |  |  |  |

| b) |        | roposed bases for assessing the significance of a subsidiary, i.e. the asset revenue ratio and the profits ratio?  |
|----|--------|--|
|    | X      | Yes  |
|    |        | No. The significance of a subsidiary should be determined by ( <i>please specify</i> ):  |
|    | Please | e provide reasons for your views.  |
|    |        |  |
| •) | 10%    | roposed additional safeguard to require the consideration ratio be less than if an "insignificant" subsidiary concerned is itself a party to the action or its securities/assets are the subject of the transaction? |
|    | X      | Yes  |
|    |        | No   |
|    | Please | e provide reasons for your views.  |
|    |        |  |
|    | -      | roposed mechanism for applying the exemption to continuing connected actions described in paragraph 27 of the Consultation Paper?  |
|    | X      | Yes  |
|    |        | No   |
|    | Please | e provide reasons for your views.  |
|    |        |  |
|    |        |  |

| 6. |             | ar answers to question 5 are "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule dments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?   |
|----|-------------|---|
|    | $\boxtimes$ | Yes   |
|    |             | No  |
|    | If you      | answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
|    |             |   |
| 7. | under       | a agree with Option 2, do you think that the definition of "major subsidiary" Rule 13.25 should be amended to align with that in the "insignificant subsidiary ption" if adopted?   |
|    |             | Yes   |
|    |             | No  |
|    | Please      | e provide reasons for your views.   |
|    |             |   |
|    |             |   |
|    |             |   |
| В. |             | minimis thresholds that trigger disclosure or shareholders' oval requirement for connected transactions   |
| 8. | (a)         | For the exemption from independent shareholders' approval requirement, do you support the proposal to revise the percentage threshold to 5%? If your answer is "No", please specify the percentage threshold that you consider appropriate. |
|    |             | × Yes   |
|    |             | No. The percentage threshold should be (please specify):  |
|    |             | Please provide reasons for your views.  |
|    |             |   |
|    |             |   |

|     | (b)    | shareholders' requirements, do you support the proposal to revise the percentage threshold to 1%? If your answer is "No", please specify the percentage threshold that you consider appropriate. |
|-----|--------|--|
|     |        | X Yes  |
|     |        | No. The percentage threshold should be (please specify):   |
|     |        | Please provide reasons for your views.   |
|     |        |  |
| 9.  | •      | ar answer to question 8 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule liments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?   |
|     | X      | Yes  |
|     |        | No   |
|     | If you | r answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  |
|     |        |  |
| 10. | •      | ou agree that a percentage threshold is sufficient to assess whether a connected ction is eligible for the de minimis exemptions?  |
|     | X      | Yes  |
|     |        | No   |
|     | Please | provide reasons for your views.  |
|     |        |  |
|     |        |  |
|     |        |  |

| 11. | Do you believe that an absolute monetary cap should also be imposed, irrespective of the percentage threshold test for de minimis exemptions? If your answer is yes, please specify the monetary cap that you consider appropriate for fully exempt connected transactions (the monetary cap for connected transactions exempt from independent shareholders' approval would be adjusted proportionately). |
|-----|--|
|     | Yes. The monetary cap for fully exempt connected transactions should be:   |
|     | HK\$100 million HK\$200 million HK\$500 million HK\$1,000 million Other monetary cap (please specify): HK\$  |
|     | X No   |
| C.  | Transactions that are revenue in nature and in the ordinary and usual course of business   |
| 12. | Do you agree that the connected transaction Rules should govern revenue transactions with connected persons?   |
|     | Yes  |
|     | ⊠ No   |
|     | Please provide reasons for your views.   |
|     | In essence, a major concern of connected transaction is the tunnelling or transfer pricing from Issuer to the connected party or its major shareholder. These corporate misbehaviors may be in the form of revenue, cost savings, profits, asset   |

transfer pricing from Issuer to the connected party or its major shareholder. These corporate misbehaviors may be in the form of revenue, cost savings, profits, asset transfer at below-market value, and many others. However, revenue does not seem to play a critical role in the valuation of a company's stock. For example, price-to-sale ratio has been less common than price-to-earnings ratio in valuation.

Proposed exemption for revenue transactions with associates of a passive investor

| 13. | -       | u agree with the proposed exemption for revenue transactions with associates of tantial shareholder who is a passive investor in the issuer group?   |
|-----|---------|--|
|     | X       | Yes  |
|     |         | No   |
|     | Please  | provide reasons for your views.  |
|     |         |  |
| 14. | shareh  | ou think that the proposed exemption should also require the substantial older be a passive investor in the relevant associate, for example, it is not ed in the management of the relevant associate? |
|     | X       | Yes  |
|     |         | No   |
|     | Please  | provide reasons for your views.  |
|     |         |  |
| 15. | If your | answer to question 13 is "Yes",  |
|     | (a)     | do you agree that the passive investor must be a sovereign fund or an authorised unit trust or mutual fund?  |
|     |         | X Yes  |
|     |         | □ No   |
|     |         | Please provide reasons for your views.   |
|     |         |  |

| (b) |             | you think that the exemption should be made available to other passive estors? If so, which?                            |  |  |
|-----|-------------|---|--|--|
|     |             | Yes. The exemption should be made available to (please specify):  |  |  |
|     |             | No  |  |  |
|     | Please      | e provide reasons for your views.   |  |  |
|     |             |   |  |  |
| (c) |             | ou agree that the passive investor must not have representative on the of directors of the issuer and its subsidiaries? |  |  |
|     | X           | Yes   |  |  |
|     |             | No  |  |  |
|     | Please      | e provide reasons for your views.   |  |  |
|     |             |   |  |  |
| (d) | •           | ou agree with other proposed conditions set out in paragraph 59 of the altation Paper?                                  |  |  |
|     | $\boxtimes$ | Yes   |  |  |
|     |             | No  |  |  |
|     | Please      | e provide reasons for your views.   |  |  |
|     |             |   |  |  |
|     |             |   |  |  |

| 16. | If your answer to question 13 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |
|-----|---|
|     | X Yes   |
|     | □ No  |
|     | If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
|     |   |
|     | Proposed modification of the exemption for provision of consumer goods or consumer services   |
| 17. | Do you agree with the proposed changes to expand the exemption for acquisition of consumer goods or services described in paragraph 66 of the Consultation Paper? |
|     | X Yes   |
|     | □ No  |
|     | Please provide reasons for your views.  |
|     |   |
| 18. | If your answer to question 17 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |
|     | X Yes   |
|     | □ No  |
|     | If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
|     |   |
|     |   |

|   | Can you think of any other suggestions to improve the regulation of revertransactions with connected persons? |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   |   | Yes  |  |
|   | X   | No   |  |
|   | If you  | r answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views.  |  |
|   | more<br>from<br>some<br>transa<br>and v   | Revenue is the starting point of a company's operation which drives toward ving its profit as the end result. Some industries may have their valuation tying closely to its revenue and some do not. Similarly, profit margins vary widely industry to industry and hence making price-to-sale ratio critically important to sectors but not to the other. The governance philosophy of a revenue actions with connected persons should therefore takes into account the sensitivity validity of the "revenue" in the perspective of the Issuer's industry norm. This avoid using "one rule fits all" measure. |  |
|   | ~ e.  |  |  |
|   |   | nition of associate  |  |
| ) |   | nition of associate in Rule 1.01 (for non-PRC issuer) and Rule 04 (for PRC issuer)   |  |
|   | Do yo   | ou support the proposal to carve out from the definition of associate the following es?  |  |
|   | (i)   | The holding company of the investee company or a fellow subsidiary of this holding company described in paragraph 68(e) of the Consultation Paper.   |  |
|   |   | X Yes  |  |
|   |   | □ No   |  |
|   | (ii)  | A company controlled by the investee company (not being a subsidiary of the investee company) described in paragraph 68(f) of the Consultation Paper and this company's subsidiary, holding company and fellow subsidiary.   |  |
|   |   | X Yes  |  |
|   |   | □ No   |  |
|   | Please  | e provide reasons for your views.  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |

| ar answer to question 20 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule dments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?                                    |
|---|
| Yes   |
| No  |
| ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  |
|   |
| nded definition of associate in Rule 14A.11(4)  |
| ou agree with the proposed extension of the definition of associate to a company ich a connected person's relative has a majority control as described in paragraph the Consultation Paper? |
| Yes   |
| No  |
| e provide reasons for your views.   |
|   |
| ur answer to question 22 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule dments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?                                    |
| Yes   |
| No  |
| ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  |
|   |
|   |
|   |

## Ε. **Definition of connected person (1)** Non wholly-owned subsidiary 24. Do you agree with the proposed exemption for (i) transactions between a connected subsidiary and any of its own subsidiaries; and (ii) transactions between any subsidiaries of the connected subsidiary? |X|Yes No Please provide reasons for your views. 25. If your answer to question 24 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? $|\mathbf{X}|$ Yes No If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views. 26. Do you agree that a non wholly-owned subsidiary should not be regarded as a connected person in the circumstances described in paragraphs 81(a) and (b) of the Consultation Paper? $|\mathbf{X}|$ Yes No Please provide reasons for your views.

| 27. |   | ar answer to question 26 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule lments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |
|-----|---|--|
|     | X   | Yes  |
|     |   | No   |
|     | If you  | ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
|     |   |  |
| (2) | Pron  | noter of a PRC issuer  |
| 28. | •   | ou support the proposal to delete "promoter" of a PRC issuer from the definition nected person?  |
|     | X   | Yes  |
|     |   | No   |
|     | Please  | e provide reasons for your views.  |
|     |   |  |
| 29. | If your answer to question 28 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |  |
|     | X   | Yes  |
|     |   | No   |
|     | If you  | ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
|     |   |  |
|     |   |  |

| (3) | PRC Governmental Body   |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 30. | Do you support the proposal to apply those provisions for PRC Governmental Body in Chapter 19A to connected persons of non-PRC issuers?                           |  |  |
|     | X Yes   |  |  |
|     | □ No  |  |  |
|     | Please provide reasons for your views.  |  |  |
|     |   |  |  |
| 31. | If your answer to question 30 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |  |  |
|     | X Yes   |  |  |
|     | □ No  |  |  |
|     | If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |  |  |
|     |   |  |  |
| (4) | Management shareholder of a GEM issuer  |  |  |
| 32. | Do you support the proposal to delete "management shareholder" from the definition of connected person in the GEM Rules?  |  |  |
|     | X Yes   |  |  |
|     | □ No  |  |  |
|     | Please provide reasons for your views.  |  |  |
|     |   |  |  |
|     |   |  |  |

| amen         | If your answer to question 32 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--|
| X            | Yes   |  |  |
|              | No  |  |  |
| If you       | ur answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |
| Othe         | er changes to the connected transaction Rules   |  |  |
|              | nption for small transaction involving issue of new securities by idiary  |  |  |
| •            | ou agree with the proposal to remove the restriction on applying the de minimi<br>ptions to an issue of securities by the issuer's subsidiary?                    |  |  |
| X            | Yes   |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |
|              | No  |  |  |
| Please       | No e provide reasons for your views.  |  |  |
| Please       |   |  |  |
| Please       |   |  |  |
| If you       | e provide reasons for your views.   |  |  |
| If you       | e provide reasons for your views.  ur answer to question 34 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rul  |  |  |
| If you amend | ur answer to question 34 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Ruldments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?            |  |  |

| 2) | Exemption for financial assistance provided on a pro-rata basis  |
|----|--|
| 6. | Do you agree with the proposal to clarify that the exemption under Rule 14A.65(3)(b)(i) will apply where the commonly held entity is also a connected person               |
|    | X Yes  |
|    | □ No   |
|    | Please provide reasons for your views.   |
|    |  |
| 7. | If your answer to question 36 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?          |
|    | X Yes  |
|    | □ No   |
|    | If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    | Transactions with third parties involving joint investments with connected persons   |
|    | Do you agree with the proposal to extend the exemption under Note 3 to Rule 14A.13(1)(b)(i) to disposal transactions mentioned in paragraph 108 of the Consultation Paper? |
|    | X Yes  |
|    | □ No   |
|    | Please provide reasons for your views.   |
|    |  |
|    |  |

| No If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  Annual review of continuing connected transactions  Do you agree with the proposed Rule amendments to clarify that the annual review requirements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting and disclosure requirements in Chapter 14A?  □ Yes □ No Please provide reasons for your views.  If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  □ Yes □ No If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views. | •      | ar answer to question 38 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule dments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal? |
|--|--------|--|
| If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  Annual review of continuing connected transactions  Do you agree with the proposed Rule amendments to clarify that the annual review requirements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting and disclosure requirements in Chapter 14A?  X Yes  No  Please provide reasons for your views.  If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  X Yes  No   | X      | Yes  |
| Annual review of continuing connected transactions  Do you agree with the proposed Rule amendments to clarify that the annual review requirements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting and disclosure requirements in Chapter 14A?  Yes No Please provide reasons for your views.  If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  Yes No   |        | No   |
| Do you agree with the proposed Rule amendments to clarify that the annual review requirements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting and disclosure requirements in Chapter 14A?   Yes  No  Please provide reasons for your views.  If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  Yes  No   | If you | ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
| Do you agree with the proposed Rule amendments to clarify that the annual review requirements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting and disclosure requirements in Chapter 14A?    Yes  |        |  |
| requirements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting and disclosure requirements in Chapter 14A?    Yes   | Ann    | ual review of continuing connected transactions  |
| □ No  Please provide reasons for your views.  If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  ▼ Yes  No   | requir | rements apply to continuing connected transactions that are subject to reporting   |
| Please provide reasons for your views.  If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  X Yes  No   | X      | Yes  |
| If your answer to question 40 is "Yes", do you agree that the proposed draft Rule amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  X Yes  No   |        | No   |
| amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  Yes  No   | Please | e provide reasons for your views.  |
| amendments in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper will implement our proposal?  Yes  No   |        |  |
| □ No   |        |  |
| _  | X      | Yes  |
| If your answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.  |        | No   |
|  | If you | ar answer is "No", please provide reasons and alternative views.   |
|  |        |  |
|  |        |  |

| 12. | Are there any other comments you would like to make? |  |
|-----|--|--|
|     |  | Yes  |
|     | X  | No   |
|     | If you   | ar answer is "Yes", please elaborate your views. |
|     |  |  |
|     |  |  |