

Patron 贊助人

The Hon C Y Leung GBM GBS JP 梁振英行政長官

Hon President 榮譽會長

Founder Chairman 創會主席

Dr Moses Cheng GBS OBE JP 鄭基智博士

Past Chairmen 前任主席

Dr Herbert H M Hui JP (deceased) 許浩明博士(已故)

Mr Peter S H Wong MBA 黃紹開先生

Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA 黃天祐博士

Hon Council Members 榮譽理事

Mr Peter S H Wong MBA 黃紹開先生

Mr Cheung Wing Yui, Edward BBS 張永銳律師

Mr Peter Barrett 畢烈先生

Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA 黃天祐博士

Hon Advisers 榮譽顧問

Dr Lau Wah Sum GBS LLD DBA JP 劉華森博士

Mr Vincent Cheng GBS OBE JP 鄭海泉先生

Mr Stephen T H Ng 吳天海先生

Mr Liu Guoyuan JP 劉國元先生

Dr Eddy Fong GBS JP 方正博士

Mr Carlson Tong SBS JP 唐家成先生

2 December 2015

Hon Wong Ting-kwong SBS JP

Chairman of Bills Committee

Legislative Council

Legislative Council Complex

1 Legislative Council Road

Central

Hong Kong

Dear Sirs

HKIoD's Response to the Bills Committee on Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill 2015

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors ("HKIoD") is pleased to forward our response to the captioned paper.

HKIoD is Hong Kong's premier body representing directors to foster the long-term success of companies through advocacy and standards-setting in corporate governance and professional development for directors. We are committed to contributing towards the formulation of public policies that are conducive to the advancement of Hong Kong's international status.

In developing the response, we have consulted our members and organised focused discussions.

Should you require further information regarding our response, please do not hesitate to contact me on tel no. 2889 9986.

With best regards

Yours sincerely

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors



Dr Carlye Tsui

Chief Executive Officer

cc: Mr Henry Lai, Chairman of Council, HKIoD & Chairman,
Corporate Governance Policies Committee

2015-2016 Council 理事會:

Chairman 主席

Mr Henry Lai 賴顯榮律師

Deputy Chairmen 副主席

Mr George Magnus BBS OBE MA(Cantab) 麥理思先生

Ir Edmund K H Leung SBS OBE JP 梁廣灝工程師

Dr David Wong BBS JP 黃友嘉博士

Dr Christopher To 陶榮博士

Mr Liu Tingan 劉廷安先生

Treasurer 司庫

Mr Man Mo Leung 文暮良先生

Immediate Past Chairman 卸任主席

Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA 黃天祐博士

Chief Executive Officer 行政總裁

Dr Carlye Tsui BBS MBE JP 徐尉玲博士

Council Members 理事會成員

Ms Bonnie S Y Chan 陳心愉女士

Dr Leonard S K Chan 陳新國博士

Dr Charles Cheung JP MBA DBA(Hon) 張惠彬博士

Dr Justin K H Chiu 趙國雄博士

Prof Y K Fan BBS JP 范耀鈞教授

Mr George Hongchoy 王國龍先生

Mr Randy Hung 孔敬權先生

Mr Ip Shing Hing JP 葉成慶律師

Mr Carmelo Lee JP 李嘉士律師

Mrs Margaret Leung 梁甘秀玲女士

Mr Ka-Yin Li 李家彥先生

Mr William Lo 羅志聰先生

Ir Prof John Mok 莫建輝教授

Mr Stanley Mok 莫兆光先生

Ms Cynthia Y S Tang 鄧宛舜女士

Mr Richard Tsang 曾立基先生

Mr Jim Wardell 詹華達先生

Mrs Alison Wong 黃李鳳英女士

Mr Huen Wong BBS JP 王桂堦律師

Dr Anthony Yeung 楊俊偉博士

Dr Linda Y W Yung 翁月華女士

Issued on: 2 December 2015

**Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions)
(Amendment) Bill 2015**

We at HKIoD have pleasure in submitting views to the Bills Committee on the Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill. We look forward to working closely with the Bills Committee, the Administration and other stakeholders to achieve the intended benefits of the Bill.

* * *

HKIoD previously commented on the subject matter in our 12 July 2013 response to the Consultation Document “Improvement of Corporate Insolvency Law Legislative Proposals (April 2013)”.

HKIoD also had the opportunity in April 2014 to discuss with the team from the Financial Services & the Treasury Bureau and from the Official Receiver’s Office on certain key proposals relating to the subject matter of the present Bill.

* * *

HKIoD supports the exercise to bring Hong Kong’s corporate insolvency law into the modern era. Overall, HKIoD considers the legislative proposals now embodied in the Bill a major improvement over the existing provisions on the subject matter.

Five-year look back is too long

In this submission, we take issue with one aspect. The five year look back period in connection with voidable transactions at an undervalue is just too long. (Clause 88 and 89, referring to Sec 265D(2) and 266B(1)(a).)

The Administration lifts and drops the look back period applicable to individuals into the current Bill, but to do so is to conveniently ignore the differing context of corporate insolvency.

The look back period in the equivalent legislation in the UK, applicable in the context of corporate insolvency, is only two years.

And on a related subject matter, the look back period for voidable unfair preferences is only two years. (Clause 89, referring to Sec 266(2) and 266B(1)(b).)

A five year look back is excessive. We object.

Creditor protection in context

Our objection stems from the need to put creditor protection in the proper context. No business decision, however brilliant and appropriate at the time made, can guarantee eventual success; circumstances can change. In any typical economic cycle, circumstances can change a lot in five years. Hindsight bias would have it that bad outcomes could easily be viewed as

knowable beforehand. Even the best able judge cannot always set apart careful decisions that do not turn out good results from plain bad ones. Absent fraud or egregious behaviour, directors should not be made to operate in a sphere where someone, and someone with much less knowledge of the company's situation and need, will second guess the decisions they make five years down the line.

When a company is not insolvent, directors should be able to take up what might seem risky or odd but could well be value-maximising transactions. Their duty runs to shareholders. They in fact have the tasks to take risks to generate value for shareholders.

When a company is not insolvent, directors do not owe fiduciary duty to creditors. To have a long look back period, however, creates a false duty to creditors when there is not and should not be. Our free market economy allows creditors to negotiate for their own protection as they see fit. Creditors have many tools and ample opportunities to guard their interests when the company-debtor exhibits signs towards financial distress. Credit contracts get re-negotiated and loans get called, often way before the company is actually insolvent.

Creditors (certainly the more sophisticated) do not rely on look back periods for protection; and they should not. But a long look back period can imply a false duty to creditors that will, if erroneously taken as true, make creditors better protected than shareholders. That false duty to creditors will stifle capitalist risk taking and be counter-productive to the economy.

The availability of defence is good, but that does not justify the long look back period

Admittedly, there are provisions intended to protect "genuine business transactions". A court must not make an order to void a transaction if it is satisfied that the company entered into the transaction in good faith and for the purpose of carrying on its business; and at the time of the transaction, the company had reasonable grounds to believe that the transaction would benefit the company. (Clause 88, referring to Sec 265D(4).)

These provisions are to protect "genuine business transactions", but more importantly they are to recognise and honour the freedom and autonomy honest directors must have in making good faith business judgments as they guide their company in proper pursuit of value for their shareholders. They are much needed, and we welcome and embrace their inclusion in the Bill. Their inclusion still does not justify a long look back of 5 years.

<END>