

**贊助人 Patron**

梁振英行政長官 The Hon C Y Leung GBS GBS JP

**榮譽會長 Hon President**

17 November 2014

**創會主席 Founder Chairman**

鄭慕智博士 Dr Moses Cheng GBS OBE JP

**前任主席 Past Chairmen**

許浩明博士 Dr Herbert H M Hui JP (deceased)

黃紹開 Peter S H Wong MBA

黃天祐博士 Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA

**榮譽理事 Hon Council Members**

黃紹開 Peter S H Wong MBA

張永銳 Cheung Wing Yui, Edward BBS

畢烈 Peter Barrett

**榮譽顧問 Hon Advisers**

劉華森博士 Dr Lau Wah Sum GBS LL.D DBA JP

鄭海泉 Vincent Cheng GBS OBE JP

吳天海 Stephen T H Ng

劉國元 Liu Guoyuan JP

方正 Eddy Fong GBS JP

Competition Commission  
36/F, Room 3601  
Wu Chung House  
197-213 Queen's Road East  
Wanchai  
Hong Kong

Dear Sirs

**HKIoD's Views and Comments on Certain Draft Guidelines to be made under the Competition Ordinance**

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors ("HKIoD") is pleased to forward our response to the captioned paper.

HKIoD is Hong Kong's premier body representing directors to foster the long-term success of companies through advocacy and standards-setting in corporate governance and professional development for directors. We are committed to contributing towards the formulation of public policies that are conducive to the advancement of Hong Kong's international status.

In developing the response, we have consulted our members and organised focused discussions.

Should you require further information regarding our response, please do not hesitate to contact me on tel no. 2889 9986.

With best regards

Yours sincerely  
The Hong Kong Institute of Directors



Dr Carlye Tsui  
Chief Executive Officer

cc: Mr Henry Lai, Chairman of Council, HKIoD & Chairman,  
Corporate Governance Policies Committee

**2014-2015 理事會 Council-**

**主席 Chairman**

賴顯榮 Henry Lai

**副主席 Deputy Chairmen**

麥理思 George Magnus BBS OBE MA(Cantab)

梁廣灝 Edmund K H Leung SBS OBE JP

黃友嘉博士 Dr David Wong BBS JP

陶榮博士 Dr Christopher To

劉廷安 Liu Tingan

**司庫 Treasurer**

文慕良 Man Mo Leung

**卸任主席 Immediate Past Chairman**

黃天祐博士 Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA

**行政總裁 Chief Executive Officer**

徐尉玲博士 Dr Carlye Tsui BBS MBE JP

**理事會成員 Council Members**

陳心愉女士 Ms Bonnie S Y Chan

張惠彬博士 Dr Charles Cheung JP MBA DBA(Hon)

趙國雄博士 Dr Justin K H Chiu

范耀鈞教授 Prof Y K Fan SBS JP

王國龍 George Hongchoy

孔敬權 Randy Hung

葉成慶 Ip Shing Hing JP

林潔蘭博士 Dr Cynhia Lam

李嘉士 Carmelo Lee JP

羅志聰 William Lo

莫建輝教授 Ir Prof John Mok

莫兆光 Stanley Mok

鄧宛舜女士 Ms Cynthia Y S Tang

曾立基 Richard Tsang

詹華達 Jim Wardell

黃李鳳英女士 Mrs Alison Wong

王桂堦 Huen Wong SBS JP

楊復偉博士 Dr Anthony Yeung

翁月華女士 Dr Linda Y W Yung

容永祺 Samuel W K Yung SBS MH JP

Issued on: 17 November 2014

**HKIoD views and comments on  
certain Draft Guidelines to be made under the Competition Ordinance**

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors would like to present views and comments on certain Draft Guidelines to be made under the Competition Ordinance. The Draft Guidelines that are the subject of this submission include:

- Draft Guideline on Complaints - 2014
- Draft Guideline on Investigations - 2014
- Draft Guideline on Applications for a Decision under Sections 9 and 24 (Exclusions and Exemptions) and Section 15 Block Exemption Orders - 2014

; each issued for public comment on 9 October 2014.

\* \* \*

**Timelines for assessment and investigation of complaints**

We think the Guidelines should include indicative timelines for the assessment of complaints and for the completion of investigations. We understand that an example of such indicative timelines can be found in the Communications Authority's Competition Investigation Procedures.

**Timelines for review of applications for exclusions and exemptions**

Likewise, we think the Guidelines should include indicative timelines for review of applications for exclusions and exemptions.

**Commission's discretion to pursue case or not  
(Section 4.1 and 4.3 of Draft Guideline on Complaints)**

We can appreciate that the Competition Commission will have discretion to decide whether to pursue a case or not. We would only caution that a decision if injudicious, or seen to be so, could weaken the credibility of the Commission in carrying out its mission.

**Next steps following assessment of complaints  
(Section 5.1(b) of Draft Guideline on Complaints)  
(also, Section 4.1(c) of Draft Guideline on Investigations)**

The Competition Commission may wish to elaborate on the factors that would warrant referring a matter to another Government agency (or conducting market study).

**Matters not warranting further investigation  
(Section 5.3 of Draft Guideline on Investigations)**

Section 5.3 suggests there would be matters that, despite a reasonable cause to suspect a contravention, would still not warrant further investigation. The Competition Commission may wish to elaborate on the basis or factors that would call for such a determination.

**Status of complaints****(Section 5.4 of Draft Guideline on Complaints)**

We can appreciate that, for operational reasons, the Complainant is unlikely to be advised of the status of a matter under consideration. But when consideration of the matter is completed, the Complainant deserves to be informed as a must, not just “likely to be informed”.

**On Complainant’s identity****(Section 2. of Draft Guideline on Complaints)**

We can appreciate that, by design or by default, some individuals will seek to make complaints anonymously. Some of our members, however, consider it essential to require a Complainant to provide some details and information at the time of the initial contact. For these members of ours, some requirement to provide details from the start will reduce the likelihood of frivolous unsubstantiated charge being made at random, and thereby assist in keeping the complaint and investigation exercise serious.

**On publicising the fact of a complaint****(Section 3 of Draft Guideline on Complaints)****(also, Section 6 of Draft Guideline on Investigations)**

We think the Guidelines should give Complainants the freedom to choose whether to make public the fact they have made a complaint. Wider knowledge of the fact of complaint could in fact encourage persons with information or similar complaints to come forward to offer additional evidence, as contemplated in Section 5.3 of the Draft Guideline on Complaints. There will, however, be occasions where the fact of a complaint being publicised could indeed impede or compromise the ability to investigate. The policy could be for Complainants to have the freedom to publicise unless there is good (but relatively rare) basis for the Competition Commission to specifically enjoin the Complainant from doing so. The Guidelines can attempt to set out the parameters of such basis.

**Commission should normally not disclose Complainant’s identity****(Section 3 of the Draft Guideline on Complaints)**

While Complainants should have the freedom to choose whether to make public the fact they have made a complaint, the Competition Commission should as a norm NOT disclose the Complainant’s identity. The Guidelines should clarify this policy and should also set out the relatively rare circumstances that the Competition Commission would consider it “necessary” (per Section 3.4 of the Draft Complaints Guidelines) to make a disclosure of the Complainant’s identity.

**Commission should consult the informant prior to disclosure of information given****(Section 6.9 of the Draft Guideline on Investigations)**

The Commission must always (not just “in most cases”) endeavour to notify and consult the person who provided confidential information prior to the Commission making a disclosure of such confidential information. For this purpose, the Guidelines should expressly include complainants as informants, and to further clarify that a Complainant’s identity is to be treated as confidential information.

<END>