

贊助人 Patron

曾蔭權行政長官 The Hon Donald Tsang GBM 14 March 2012

榮譽會長 Hon President

創會主席 Founder Chairman

鄭慕智博士 Dr Moses Cheng GBS OBE JP

前任主席 Past Chairmen

許浩明博士 Dr Herbert H M Hui JP

黃紹開 Peter S H Wong MBA

榮譽理事 Hon Council Members

黃紹開 Peter S H Wong MBA

張永銳 Cheung Wing Yui, Edward

畢烈 Peter Barrett

榮譽顧問 Hon Advisers

劉華森博士 Dr Lau Wah Sum GBS LLD DBA JP

鄭海泉 Vincent Cheng GBS OBE JP

吳天海 Stephen T H Ng

劉國元 Liu Guoyuan JP

方正 Eddy Fong GBS JP

Hon Paul Chan Mo Po, MH, JP
Chairman
Bills Committee on Companies Bill
Legislative Council Complex
1, Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear 

Bills Committee on Companies Bill

Seeking views on the retention of the headcount test (clause 664)

2011-2012 理事會 Council:-

主席 Chairman

黃天祐博士 Dr Kelvin Wong DBA

副主席 Deputy Chairmen

麥理思 George Magnus BBS OBE

梁廣灝 Edmund K H Leung SBS OBE JP

黃友嘉博士 Dr David Wong JP

司庫 Treasurer

文基良 Man Mo Leung

卸任主席 Immediate Past Chairman

黃紹開 Peter S H Wong MBA

行政總裁 Chief Executive Officer

徐蔚玲博士 Dr Carlye Tsui BBS MBE JP

理事會成員 Council Members

陳心愉女士 Ms Bonnie S Y Chan

張惠彬博士 Dr Charles Cheung JP MBA DBA(Hon)

江偉 A F M Conway

范權鈞教授 Prof Y K Fan BBS JP

孔敬權 Randy Hung

葉成慶 Ip Shing Hing JP

賴顯榮 Henry Lai

林潔蘭博士 Dr Cynthia Lam

李嘉士 Carmelo Lee

林宜亮 Alfred Lin

劉廷安 Liu Tingan

莫建輝教授 Ir Prof John Mok

莫兆光 Stanley Mok

譚學林博士 Dr Tommy Tam JP

鄧宛舜女士 Ms Cynthia Y S Tang

陶榮教授 Prof Christopher To

曾立基 Richard Tsang

詹華達 Jim Wardell

黃李鳳英女士 Mrs Alison Wong

王桂堦 Huen Wong JP

黃澤峰博士 Dr Peter C F Wong

楊俊偉 Anthony Yeung

翁月華女士 Ms Linda Y W Yung

容永祺 Samuel W K Yung SBS MH JP

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors (“HKIoD”) is pleased to respond on the captioned subject.

HKIoD is Hong Kong’s premier body representing professional directors working together to promote good corporate governance. We are committed to contributing towards the formulation of public policies that are conducive to the advancement of Hong Kong’s international status.


In developing the response, we have consulted our members and organised focused discussions.

Should you require further information regarding our response, please do not hesitate to contact me on tel no. 2889 9986.

With best regards

Yours sincerely

THE HONG KONG INSTITUTE OF DIRECTORS



Dr Carlye Tsui
Chief Executive Officer

cc: Dr Kelvin Wong, Chairman of Council, HKIoD
Mr Henry Lai, Council Member, HKIoD & Chairman,
Corporate Governance Policies Committee

Issued on: 14 March 2012

**CO Rewrite –Bills Committee on Companies Bill
seeking views on the retention of the headcount test (clause 664)**

This is in reply to the letter dated 14 February 2012 inviting HKIoD to give views on Clause 664 of the Companies Bill. Let it be known that we are delighted to have the opportunity to assist the Bills Committee on Companies Bill.

* * *

HKIoD previously commented on the issue of whether to retain the headcount test for members' schemes and for creditors' schemes, in our 26 March 2010 submission (the "March 2010 submission") in connection with the Draft Companies Bill First Phase Consultation, and in our 9 April 2011 submission (the "April 2011 submission") in connection with Companies Bill.

As to members' schemes

The Companies Bill will retain the headcount test for members' scheme while giving the court discretion to dispense with the test so as to tackle the problem of share splitting by parties opposing a scheme.

In the March 2010 submission, we argued a preference for the abolishment of the headcount test for members' scheme whether for listed or non-listed companies. A "majority in number" requirement embodied in the headcount test encourages manipulation by majority as well as minority shareholders. Many Hong Kong investors hold only beneficial interests in shares of listed companies within CCASS. With many shares in listed companies being held by nominees and custodians, a headcount test is not necessarily indicative of the decisions of the beneficial owners of the shares. Minority shareholders of listed companies are already afforded substantial protection under the Takeovers Code. A court still has discretion not to sanction members' scheme even without a headcount test requirement. On this basis, we think the removal of the headcount test requirement is appropriate.

We stand by the views we presented. There being sufficient means to protect minority shareholders has always been a key part in our considerations.

Nevertheless, as we indicated in the April 2011 submission, the Bills Committee may want to condition the retention of the headcount test (as to listed companies) on timely introduction and implementation of a scripless market in Hong Kong.

As to creditors' schemes

The Companies Bill will retain the headcount test for creditors' schemes, but will not extend court discretion to dispense with the test.

As we indicated in the March 2010 submission, we continue to believe voting by value of debt and not by headcount will result in outcomes that are fairer.

-- END --